July 15, 2004

Re: Court decision on Yucca Mountain lawsuit

Dear Senator:

On July 9, 2004, the DC Circuit Court of Appeals released its decision on the Yucca Mountain lawsuits filed by environmental and consumer groups, the State of Nevada, and the nuclear industry. The court ruled that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) illegally set its 10,000-year compliance period for groundwater radiation standards at the proposed high-level radioactive waste dump at Yucca Mountain, N.V.

The appellate court’s ruling does more than set the Yucca Mountain Project back. The Department of Energy (DOE) simply cannot proceed with its license application until both the EPA and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) reissue standards based on the National Academy of Sciences’ (NAS) recommendations. Then the DOE must show that it can prevent groundwater contamination above drinking water standards at the compliance boundary for hundreds of thousands of years. But DOE’s own analysis shows that the Yucca Mountain site cannot meet this standard.

In the 1992 Energy Policy Act, Congress wisely mandated that the EPA determine public health and safety standards for Yucca Mountain “based upon and consistent with” the NAS’ findings and recommendations. The NAS recommends a compliance period that extends to the time of peak radiation doses from the site, which studies show are not likely to occur for 300,000 years or more.

The EPA blatantly ignored the findings of the NAS by requiring only a 10,000-year compliance period. According to the court’s decision, “Only in a world where ‘based upon’ means ‘in disregard of’ and ‘consistent with’ means ‘inconsistent with’ could EPA’s adoption of a 10,000-year compliance period be considered a permissible construction” of the Energy Policy Act. The NAS found that “there is no scientific basis for limiting the time period of an individual risk standard to 10,000 years or to any other value.” The report recommends “[t]hat compliance with the standard be measured at the time of peak risk, whenever it occurs.” EPA’s current standard simply would not protect the health of future generations.

Given that the court vacated the 10,000-year compliance period in both the EPA standard and the NRC repository licensing rule, there is no regulatory standard for evaluation of a DOE Yucca Mountain repository license application. We urge you to deny funding for a Yucca Mountain repository license application in the FY05 Energy and Water Appropriations bill.
Sincerely,

Susan Shaer
Executive Director
Women's Action for New Directions

Anna Aurilio
Legislative Director
U.S. Public Interest Research Group

Stephen Smith, DVM
Executive Director
Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

Jeremy M. Maxand
Executive Director
Snake River Alliance

David Hamilton
Director, Global Warming and Energy Programs
Sierra Club

Wenonah Hauter
Director, Energy Program
Public Citizen

Michael Mariotte
Executive Director
Nuclear Information and Resource Service

Judy Treichel
Executive Director
Nevada Nuclear Waste Task Force

Paul Colbert
Program Director
Nevada Desert Experience

Karen Wayland
Legislative Director
Natural Resources Defense Council

Kevin S. Curtis
Vice President
National Environmental Trust

Rochelle Becker
Project Coordinator Diablo Canyon Safety Issues
Mothers for Peace, Political Action Committee

Jaya Tiwari
Research Director
Physicians for Social Responsibility

Sara Zdeb
Legislative Director
Friends of the Earth

Peggy Maze Johnson
Executive Director
Citizen Alert

Grant Smith
Executive Director
Citizens Action Coalition of Indiana

S. Elizabeth Birnbaum
Vice President for Government Affairs
American Rivers

Susan Gordon
Director
Alliance for Nuclear Accountability