No. 06-1301 No. 06-1310 consolidated

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

NUCLEAR INFORMATION AND RESOURCE SERVICE and PUBLIC CITIZEN,

Petitioners,

-against-

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents

LOUISIANA ENERGY SERVICES, L.P.

Intervenor.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

5

BRIEF FOR PETITIONERS

Lindsay A. Lovejoy, Jr. 618 Paseo de Peralta, Unit B Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 983-1800 Attorney for Petitioners

April 2, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR NUCLEAR INFORMATION AND RESOURCE SERVICE AND PUBLIC CITIZEN PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT RULE 28(a)(1)

Pursuant to Circuit Rule 28(a)(1), counsel for petitioners Nuclear

Information and Resource Service and Public Citizen (collectively, "NIRS/PC")

make the following certificate as to parties, rulings, and related cases:

- (A) Parties and Amici: The parties who have appeared in the proceedings before the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the "Commission") or in this Court are as follows:
 - 1. Louisiana Energy Services, L.P., the applicant before the Commission, intervenor herein.
 - Nuclear Information and Resource Service, intervenor before the Commission, petitioner herein.
 - 3. Public Citizen, intervenor before the Commission, petitioner herein.
 - 4. New Mexico Environment Department, intervenor before the Commission.
 - 5. New Mexico Attorney General's Office, intervenor before the Commission.
 - 6. The Commission appeared by its Staff counsel in the agency proceedings and is a respondent herein.

- 7. The United States is a respondent herein.
- (B)Rulings under review: The rulings under review are those that underlie the license issued by the Commission on June 23, 2006, including:
 - 1. The Commission's decision on review of the rulings of the Board concerning environmental issues, CLI-05-28 (Nov. 21, 2005),
 - 2. The Commission's decision on review of the rulings of the Board concerning environmental impacts of disposal of depleted uranium and on summary disposition cross-motions, CLI-06-15 (June 2, 2006),
 - 3. The Commission's decision by the Board in its Final Partial Initial Decision (Mandatory Hearing/Uncontested Issues), LBP-06-17 (June 23, 2006), and
 - 4. The Commission's decision on review of rulings of the Board concerning safety-related issues, CLI-06-22 (Aug. 17, 2006).
- (C)Related cases: This case has not previously come before this Court. Two petitions for review concerning the licensing proceeding in issue are pending before this Court under docket Nos. 06-1301 and 06-1310 and were consolidated by order of this Court dated October 27, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Lindsay A. Lovejoy, Jr. 618 Paseo de Peralta, Unit B Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 983-1800 (505) 983-0036 (facsimile) D.C. Circuit Bar No. 41321

E-mail: lindsay@lindsaylovejoy.com

Counsel for Petitioners Nuclear Information and Resource Service 6930 Carroll Ave., Suite 340 Takoma Park, MD 20912 (301) 270-6477

and

Public Citizen 1600 20th St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20009 (202) 588-1000

April 2, 2007

PETITIONERS' CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 26.1, Fed. R. App. P., Petitioners certify that Petitioners

Nuclear Information and Resource Service ("NIRS") and Public Citizen ("PC") are
non-profit corporations. Neither NIRS nor PC has any parent company or any
subsidiary or affiliate that has issued shares to the public.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE	OF CONTENTS
TABLE	OF AUTHORITIESviii
GLOSS	ARYxvi
I.	JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT
II.	STATUTES AND REGULATIONS
III.	ISSUES PRESENTED FOR REVIEW
IV.	STATEMENT OF FACTS
	a. Initial proceedings
V.	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT
VI.	ARGUMENT21
	1. NRC unlawfully supplemented an inadequate EIS after the hearing was completed

2. NRC denied NIRS/PC the adjudicatory hearing required by §193 by determining a material issue— "plausible strategy"—based upon action by Utah regulators	
3. NRC violated APA standards of agency decisionmaking in determining "plausible strategy"28	
a. NRC made no determination that near-surface disposal at Envirocare would comply with 10 C.F.R. §§61.41 and 61.42	
b. NRC failed to articulate criteria for plausible strategy	
c. NRC changed the standard for "plausible strategy" without explanation40	
d. NRC's introduction of a new standard for "plausible strategy" denies due process42	
e. NRC's ruling that depleted uranium from enrichment constitutes Class A low-level waste is arbitrary and capricious	
4. NRC arbitrarily rejected contentions about LMI's estimate of costs of deconversion and disposal by DOE	
5. NRC failed to analyze impacts of near-surface disposal of depleted uranium, contrary to NEPA52	
6. NRC erroneously adopted DRC's decision without reviewing that decision	
7. A Member of the Commission was disqualified59	
II. CONCLUSION61	VII.

VIII.	RULE 32(a)(7)(C) CERTIFICATE	63
	110 = 2 0 = (4)(1)(0) 0 = 211 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	-

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Judicial Decisions¹

Page
American Lung Association v. EPA, 134 F.3d 388 (D.C. Cir. 1998)37
AT&T Corp. v. FCC, 394 F.3d 933 (D.C. Cir. 2005)
Bluewater Network v. EPA, 370 F.3d 1(D.C. Cir. 2004)37
Carolina Environmental Study Group v. United States, 510 F.2d 796 (D.C. Cir. 1975)
*Carstens v. NRC, 742 F.2d 1546 (D.C. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 471 U.S. 1136 (1985)
*Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. NRDC, 467 U.S. 837 (1984)22, 24
*Cinderella Career and Finishing Schools, Inc. v. FTC, 425 F.2d 583 (D.C. Cir. 1970)
Communities Against Runway Expansion, Inc. v. FAA, 355 F.3d 678 (D.C. Cir. 2004)
Concerned about Trident v. Rumsfeld, 555 F.2d 817 (D.C. Cir. 1977)56
EMR Network v. FCC, 391 F.3d 269 (D.C. Cir. 2004), cert. denied, 125 S.Ct. 2925 (2005)
Essex County Preservation Association v. Campbell, 536 F.2d 956 (1st Cir. 1976)
Gilligan, Will & Co. v. SEC, 267 F.2d 461 (2d Cir.), cert denied, 361 U.S. 896 (1959)

¹ Authorities upon which we chiefly rely are marked with asterisks.

Ground Zero Center for Non-violent Action v. Department of the Navy, 383 F.3d 1082 (9th Cir. 2004)53
*Guard v. NRC, 753 F.2d 1144 (D.C. Cir. 1985)
*International Business Machines Corp., 45 F.3d 641 (2d Cir. 1995)60
Jost v. Surface Transportation Board, 194 F.3d 79 (D.C. Cir. 1999)37
Keyspan-Ravenswood, LLC v. FERC, 348 F.3d 1053 (D.C. Cir. 2003)37
Liljeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp., 486 U.S. 847 (1988)61
*Limerick Ecology Action, Inc. v. NRC, 869 F.2d 719 (3d Cir. 1989)
Liteky v. United States, 510 U.S. 540 (1994)60
Manhattan Center Studios v. NLRB, 452 F.3d 813 (D.C. Cir. 2006)37
Marsh v. Oregon Natural Resource Council, 490 U.S. 360 (1989)52
*Massachusetts v. NRC, 924 F.2d 311 (D.C. Cir.), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 899 (1991)
Mid-States Coalition for Progress v. STB, 345 F.3d 520 (8th Cir. 2003)52
*Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Association, Inc. v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co., 463 U.S. 29 (1983)
Mountain Communications, Inc. v. FCC, 355 F.3d 644 (D.C. Cir. 2004)41
National Committee for the New River, Inc. v. FERC, 373 F.3d 1323 (D.C. Cir. 2004)
NRDC v. EPA, 824 F.2d 1146 (D.C. Cir. 1987)50
Nevada v. DOE, 457 F.3d 78 (D.C. Cir. 2006)52
X

New York Cross Harbor Railroad v. STB, 374 F.3d 1177 (D.C. Cir. 2004)41
Nuclear Energy Institute v. EPA, 373 F.3d 1251 (D.C. Cir. 2004)41
Olmsted Falls v. FAA, 292 F.3d 261 (D.C. Cir. 2002)52
Potomac Alliance v. NRC, 682 F.2d 1030 (D.C. Cir. 1982)56
PPL Wallingford Energy LLC v. FERC, 419 F.3d 1194 (D.C. Cir. 2005)
Public Service Commission v. FERC, 397 F.3d 1004 (D.C. Cir. 2005)
*Ramaprakash v. FAA, 346 F.3d 1121 (D.C. Cir. 2003)41
Rollins Environmental Services (NJ) Inc. v. EPA, 937 F.2d 649 (D.C. Cir. 1991)50
*San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. NRC, 751 F.2d 1287 (D.C. Cir. 1984), vacated in part, 760 F.2d 1320 (D.C. Cir. 1985)(en banc), and aff'd, San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. NRC, 789 F.2d 26 (D.C. Cir.)(en banc), cert. denied, 479 U.S. 923 (1986)
*San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. NRC, 789 F.2d 26 (D.C. Cir.)(en banc), cert. denied, 479 U.S. 923 (1986)24, 28, 43, 53
*San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. NRC, 449 F.3d 1016 (9th Cir. 2006), cert. denied, 127 S.Ct. 1124 (2007)
Scientists' Institute for Public Information, Inc. v. AEC, 481 F.2d 1079 (D.C. Cir. 1973)52
SEC v. Checkosky, 23 F.3d 452 (D.C. Cir. 1994)38
*SEC v. Chenery Corp., 332 U.S. 194 (1947)36
Serafyn v. FCC, 149 F.3d 1213 (D.C. Cir. 1998)38

Sierra Club v. Lynn, 502 F.2d 43 (5th Cir. 1974)58
Sierra Club v. NRC, 862 F.2d 222 (9th Cir. 1988)
Sierra Club v. Sigler, 695 F.2d 957 (5th Cir. 1983)58
Sithe/Independent Power Partners v. FERC, 165 F.3d 944 (D.C. Cir. 1999)
Trinity Broadcasting of Florida, Inc. v. FCC, 211 F.3d 618 (D.C. Cir. 2000)
*Tripoli Rocketry Association, Inc. v. Bureau of Alcohol, etc., 437 F.3d 75 (D.C. Cir. 2006)
*Union of Concerned Scientists v. NRC, 735 F.2d 1437 (D.C. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 1132 (1985)24, 27-28, 46, 47, 49
Village of Bensenville v. FAA, 457 F.3d 52 (D.C. Cir. 2006)
*Williams Gas Processing-Gulf Coast Co. v. FERC, 475 F.3d 319 (D.C. Cir. 2006)
*Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Co. v. FERC, 165 F.3d 54 (D.C. Cir. 1999)
Administrative decisions
CFC Logistics, Inc., 60 N.R.C. 475 (2004)48
Commonwealth Edison Co. (Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2), CLI-99-4, 49 N.R.C. 185 (1999)
Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Co. (Haddam Neck Plant), LBP-01-21, 54 N.R.C. 33 (2001)48
Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3), CLI-01-24, 54 N.R.C. 349 (2001)

Georgia Institute of Technology (Georgia Tech Research Reactor), CLI-95-10, 42 N.R.C. 1 (1995)
Louisiana Energy Services (Claiborne Enrichment Center), LBP-91-41, 34 N.R.C. 332 (1991)29
Louisiana Energy Services (Claiborne Enrichment Center), LBP-97-3, 45 N.R.C. 99 (1997)(vacated after withdrawal of application, CLI-98-5, 47 N.R.C. 113 (1998)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (Claiborne Enrichment Center), CLI-97-11, 46 N.R.C. 49 (1997)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (Claiborne Enrichment Center), 46 N.R.C. 275 (1997)
Louisiana Energy Services (Claiborne Enrichment Center), CLI-98-03, 47 N.R.C. 77 (1998)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (Claiborne Enrichment Center), 47 N.R.C. 113 (1998)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), CLI-04-3, 59 N.R.C. 10 (2004)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), LBP-04-14, 60 N.R.C. 40 (2004)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), CLI-05-05, 61 N.R.C. 22 (2005)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), First Partial Initial Decision (June 8, 2005)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), CLI-05-20 (Oct. 19, 2005)6-7, 30, 45, 50, 56

Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), CLI-05-28)(Nov. 21, 2005)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), Second Partial Initial Decision (March 3, 2006)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), Third Partial Initial Decision (May 31, 2006)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), (CLI-06-15)(June 2, 2006)14-16, 23, 25, 31-35, 38-40, 42, 50, 53-56
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), Final Partial Initial Decision (June 23, 2006)
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. (National Enrichment Facility), (CLI-06-22)(Aug. 17, 2006)
Philadelphia Electric Co. (Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), LBP-82-43A, 15 N.R.C. 1423 (June 1, 1982)
Private Fuel Storage (Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation), CLI-03-8, 58 N.R.C. 11 (2003)
Private Fuel Storage (Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation), CLI-00-13, 52 N.R.C. 23 (2000)
Sequoyah Fuels Corp., 1995 WL 761196 (1995)
Texas Utilities Electric Co. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Unit 1), ALAB-868, 25 N.R.C. 912 (1987)
<u>Statutes</u>
Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"): 5 U.S.C. §§554-57

5 U.S.C. §706(2)(E)28, 57, 5	9
Atomic Energy Act Atomic Energy Act ("AEA"): 42 U.S.C. 2239(b)	.1
42 U.S.C. §2243	51
Hobbs Act, 28 U.S.C. §2342(4)	1
National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. §4321 et seq	.3
USEC Privatization Act §3113, 42 U.S.C. §2297h-116, 18, 19, 47-49, 5	0
Regulations	
NRC Regulations:	
10 C.F.R. §2.309(c)(1)(i)	6
10 C.F.R. §2.713(a)	27
10 C.F.R. §2.713 (c)(1)	27
10 C.F.R. §51.415	
10 C.F.R. §51.455	7
10 C.F.R. §51.70(b)	58
10 C.F.R. §51.7123, 5	
10 C.F.R. §51.73	
10 C.F.R. §51.91	
10 C.F.R. §51.97(c)	
10 C.F.R. Part 615, 8, 15, 16, 17, 21, 29, 32-35, 38, 39, 40, 41, 43, 47, 5	
10 C.F.R. §61.2.	
10 C.F.R. §61.7(b)(2)	
10 C.F.R. §61.7(b)(4)	
10 C.F.R. §61.7(b)(5)	
10 C.F.R. §61.41	
10 C.F.R. §61.42	
10 C.F.R. §61.55	
10 C.F.R. §61.55(a)(6)	
10 C.F.R. §61.59	
10 C.F.R. §70.22(a)(4)	
10 C.F.R. §70.25(e)	ŀΙ

Council on Environmental Quality Regulations:		
40 C.F.R. §1502.2252, 5	7	
40 C.F.R. §1506.55		
40 C.F.R. §1508.8		
	_	
Federal Register Notices		
NRC, Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions and Related Conforming Amendments, Final Rule, 49 Fed. Reg. 9352 (March 12, 1984)	52	
NRC, Notice of Receipt of Application for License; Notice of Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of License; and Notice of Hearing and Commission Order; Louisiana Energy Services, L.P.; Claiborne Enrichment Center, 56 Fed. Reg. 23310 (May 21,		
1991)	9	
NRC, Protection Against Malevolent Use of Vehicles at Nuclear Power Plant Final Rule, 59 Fed. Reg. 38,889 (Aug. 1, 1994)		
Timar reale, 39 Tea. reg. 30,009 (riag. 1, 1991)		
NRC, Changes to Adjudicatory Process, Final Rule, 69 Fed. Reg. 2182 (Jan. 1-2004)		
Miscellaneous		
136 Cong. Rec. 36373 (Oct. 27, 1990)	23	

GLOSSARY

AEA: Atomic Energy Act

APA: Administrative Procedure Act

Board: Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Commission: Nuclear Regulatory Commission

DOE: U.S. Department of Energy

DRC: Utah Division of Radiological Control

Envirocare: Envirocare of Utah

FFCL: Findings of fact and conclusions of law

Final Decision Board Decision LBP-06-17, 63 N.R.C. 747 (June 23, 2006)

First Decision: Board Decision LBP-05-13 (June 8, 2005)

GTCC: Greater than Class C LLW

LES: Louisiana Energy Services, L.P.

LLW: Low-level radioactive waste

NEF: National Enrichment Facility

NEPA: National Environmental Policy Act

NRC: Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NTS: Nevada Test Site

PEIS: Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

Second Decision: Board Decision LBP-06-08 (March 3, 2006)

Third Decision: Board Decision LBP-06-15 (May 31, 2006)

WCS: Waste Control Specialists

§3113: Sec. 3113 of the USEC Privatization Act, 42 U.S.C. §2297h-11