UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

G. Paul Bollwerk, III, Chairman Dr. Paul B. Abramson Dr. Charles N. Kelber

In the Matter of	Docket No. 70-3103-ML
LOUISIANA ENERGY SERVICES, L.P.	ASLBP No. 04-826-01-ML
(National Enrichment Facility)	May 12, 2004

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Ruling on Request for Access to Proprietary Information)

In a series of recent pleadings concerning the timing of the 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(h)(2) reply of petitioner Attorney General of New Mexico (AGNM) to the responses of applicant Louisiana Energy Services, L.P., and the NRC staff to the April 5, 2004 AGNM hearing request relating to the December 12, 2003 LES application at issue in this proceeding, a question has arisen regarding access by AGNM to purported proprietary material referenced by LES in its May 3, 2004 response and/or its December 2003 application. In its May 11 filling on this subject,¹ AGNM asserts relative to its Technical Contention (TC)-ii that access to the information in question is necessary to make its arguments concerning this issue.

¹ In a May 11, 2004 informal e-mail to the Licensing Board, the NRC staff declined to take any position on this matter. Also filed on May 11 was a pleading from petitioners Nuclear Information and Resource Service and Public Citizen (NIRS/PC) supporting access by AGNM (and themselves) to such proprietary information. Although as a courtesy we have been providing NIRS/PC with copies of this Licensing Board's issuances, its hearing petition currently is before the Commission -- not this Board -- relative to the issue of standing. We nonetheless we will accept the NIRS/PC filing as being in the nature of an amicus curiae submission. <u>Cf.</u> 10 C.F.R. § 2.315(d).

In its January 1, 2004 notice of hearing and order for this proceeding, the Commission made reference to the fact that portions of the LES application concerned nonpublic classified information and that persons wanting access to that information were to contact the agency immediately to obtain a proper clearance. <u>See</u> CLI-04-3, 59 NRC 10, 24 (2004). The Commission's order, however, provided no direction regarding access to nonpublic proprietary information. In contrast, in recent hearing opportunity notices, the agency has provided instructions regarding access to <u>both</u> nonpublic security sensitive and proprietary information relied upon in support of a license application. <u>See, e.g.</u>, 69 Fed. Reg. 19,880, 19,881 n.1 (Apr. 14, 2004).

Under the circumstances here, and consistent with this access policy relative to nonpublic materials explicitly relied upon in support of an application, we conclude that AGNM should be afforded access to the purported proprietary material now. As a consequence, counsel for applicant LES , the staff, and AGNM should consult immediately and arrive at an agreement on a protective order under which the information at issue could be made available to the AGNM on an expedited basis.² Such a draft order should be presented to the Board on or before <u>Wednesday, May 19, 2004</u>. Once the Board has issued the protective order, AGNM shall have <u>seven days</u> from date upon which the material becomes available to it to file its reply relative to TC-ii.

It is so ORDERED.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD³

Original Signed By G. Paul Bollwerk, III ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Rockville, Maryland

May 12, 2004

³ Copies of this memorandum and order were sent this date by Internet e-mail transmission to counsel for (1) applicant LES; (2) petitioners New Mexico Environment Department, AGNM, and NIRS/PC; and (3) the staff.

² As an example of such an order, see the Licensing Board's April 1, 1999 memorandum and order in the Shearon Harris independent spent fuel storage installation proceeding. (ADAMS Accession No. ML011420404). Although such an order would not apply to NIRS/PC to the extent they are not now before the Licensing Board, the other participants may wish to include them in any discussions to expedite matters in the event their hearing petition is referred to the Board.