

The **Florida Public Service Commission** has the power to say NO NEW NUKES in Florida. Right now Florida Power and Light is asking the PSC to approve two NEW nuclear power reactors in South Florida, at the existing Turkey Point nuclear power station. FPL and Progress Energy also plan to build new nuclear reactors at St Lucie and near Crystal River – NOW is the time to stop this!

On January 9<sup>th</sup> the Florida PSC will take public comments at a hearing at Miami Dade College, Wolfson campus -- **4 pm**, Building 1000, room 1261 300 on NE 2nd Ave in Miami (33132) -- if you can please attend! We need to build a record of documents and those who attend the hearing can help submit documents that may be useful to the intervenors in the case.

Questions? Mary Olson, NIRS 828-301-8818, nirs@main.nc.us  
**See reverse for a few “talking points” Send comments to:**  
PSC Clerk 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

The **Florida Public Service Commission** has the power to say NO NEW NUKES in Florida. Right now Florida Power and Light is asking the PSC to approve two NEW nuclear power reactors in South Florida, at the existing Turkey Point nuclear power station. FPL and Progress Energy also plan to build new nuclear reactors at St Lucie and near Crystal River – NOW is the time to stop this!

On January 9<sup>th</sup> the Florida PSC will take public comments at a hearing at Miami Dade College, Wolfson campus -- **4 pm**, Building 1000, room 1261 300 on NE 2nd Ave in Miami (33132) -- if you can please attend! We need to build a record of documents and those who attend the hearing can help submit documents that may be useful to the intervenors in the case.

Questions? Mary Olson, NIRS 828-301-8818, nirs@main.nc.us  
**See reverse for a few “talking points” Send comments to:**  
PSC Clerk 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

The **Florida Public Service Commission** has the power to say NO NEW NUKES in Florida. Right now Florida Power and Light is asking the PSC to approve two NEW nuclear power reactors in South Florida, at the existing Turkey Point nuclear power station. FPL and Progress Energy also plan to build new nuclear reactors at St Lucie and near Crystal River – NOW is the time to stop this!

On January 9<sup>th</sup> the Florida PSC will take public comments at a hearing at Miami Dade College, Wolfson campus -- **4 pm**, Building 1000, room 1261 300 on NE 2nd Ave in Miami (33132) -- if you can please attend! We need to build a record of documents and those who attend the hearing can help submit documents that may be useful to the intervenors in the case.

Questions? Mary Olson, NIRS 828-301-8818, nirs@main.nc.us  
**See reverse for a few “talking points” Send comments to:**  
PSC Clerk 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

The **Florida Public Service Commission** has the power to say NO NEW NUKES in Florida. Right now Florida Power and Light is asking the PSC to approve two NEW nuclear power reactors in South Florida, at the existing Turkey Point nuclear power station. FPL and Progress Energy also plan to build new nuclear reactors at St Lucie and near Crystal River – NOW is the time to stop this!

On January 9<sup>th</sup> the Florida PSC will take public comments at a hearing at Miami Dade College, Wolfson campus -- **4 pm**, Building 1000, room 1261 300 on NE 2nd Ave in Miami (33132) -- if you can please attend! We need to build a record of documents and those who attend the hearing can help submit documents that may be useful to the intervenors in the case.

Questions? Mary Olson, NIRS 828-301-8818, nirs@main.nc.us  
**See reverse for a few “talking points” Send comments to:**  
PSC Clerk 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

### **Why the FL PSC should reject new reactors at Turkey Point:**

- FPL lobbied the state legislature to get “construction work in progress” --pre-payment of nuclear construction costs by consumers. FLP also lobbied Congress for 100% guarantee on commercial loans for new nukes. Therefore **ALL** of the risk of these new nukes is riding on the people of Florida – whether as ratepayers, or as taxpayers. This is wrong: the PSC should say “NO!”
- The “socialization” of nuclear power is justified by the Climate Crisis. Nuclear energy CANNOT solve the climate crisis – it costs too much – and it takes too long – key reactor components are only made at one site. WORLDWIDE less than 10 reactors can be made per year! This rate will not meet CO2 reduction goals in time.
- Wall Street says the only place to “risk” investment in new nukes is in regulated utility markets like Florida -- nuclear power cannot compete in open markets. Why should Floridians pay for it?
- There is no solution to nuclear waste – it is likely to remain a local liability -- the PSC should factor expanding its production.

For more info on nuclear energy see [www.nirs.org](http://www.nirs.org) THANK YOU!

### **Why the FL PSC should reject new reactors at Turkey Point:**

- FPL lobbied the state legislature to get “construction work in progress” --pre-payment of nuclear construction costs by consumers. FLP also lobbied Congress for 100% guarantee on commercial loans for new nukes. Therefore **ALL** of the risk of these new nukes is riding on the people of Florida – whether as ratepayers, or as taxpayers. This is wrong: the PSC should say “NO!”
- The “socialization” of nuclear power is justified by the Climate Crisis. Nuclear energy CANNOT solve the climate crisis – it costs too much – and it takes too long – key reactor components are only made at one site. WORLDWIDE less than 10 reactors can be made per year! This rate will not meet CO2 reduction goals in time.
- Wall Street says the only place to “risk” investment in new nukes is in regulated utility markets like Florida -- nuclear power cannot compete in open markets. Why should Floridians pay for it?
- There is no solution to nuclear waste – it is likely to remain a local liability -- the PSC should factor expanding its production.

For more info on nuclear energy see [www.nirs.org](http://www.nirs.org) THANK YOU!

### **Why the FL PSC should reject new reactors at Turkey Point:**

- FPL lobbied the state legislature to get “construction work in progress” --pre-payment of nuclear construction costs by consumers. FLP also lobbied Congress for 100% guarantee on commercial loans for new nukes. Therefore **ALL** of the risk of these new nukes is riding on the people of Florida – whether as ratepayers, or as taxpayers. This is wrong: the PSC should say “NO!”
- The “socialization” of nuclear power is justified by the Climate Crisis. Nuclear energy CANNOT solve the climate crisis – it costs too much – and it takes too long – key reactor components are only made at one site. WORLDWIDE less than 10 reactors can be made per year! This rate will not meet CO2 reduction goals in time.
- Wall Street says the only place to “risk” investment in new nukes is in regulated utility markets like Florida -- nuclear power cannot compete in open markets. Why should Floridians pay for it?
- There is no solution to nuclear waste – it is likely to remain a local liability -- the PSC should factor expanding its production.

For more info on nuclear energy see [www.nirs.org](http://www.nirs.org) THANK YOU!

### **Why the FL PSC should reject new reactors at Turkey Point:**

- FPL lobbied the state legislature to get “construction work in progress” --pre-payment of nuclear construction costs by consumers. FLP also lobbied Congress for 100% guarantee on commercial loans for new nukes. Therefore **ALL** of the risk of these new nukes is riding on the people of Florida – whether as ratepayers, or as taxpayers. This is wrong: the PSC should say “NO!”
- The “socialization” of nuclear power is justified by the Climate Crisis. Nuclear energy CANNOT solve the climate crisis – it costs too much – and it takes too long – key reactor components are only made at one site. WORLDWIDE less than 10 reactors can be made per year! This rate will not meet CO2 reduction goals in time.
- Wall Street says the only place to “risk” investment in new nukes is in regulated utility markets like Florida -- nuclear power cannot compete in open markets. Why should Floridians pay for it?
- There is no solution to nuclear waste – it is likely to remain a local liability -- the PSC should factor expanding its production.

For more info on nuclear energy see [www.nirs.org](http://www.nirs.org) THANK YOU!