October 10, 2006

The Honorable Jim Saxton
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Saxton:

This is a response to your letter dated September 26, 2006, addressed to Chairman Dale E. Klein, in which you request that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) address your concerns related to the effects of water leakage and corrosion on the structural integrity of the containment wall of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station reactor. You also recommend that the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards conduct an independent analysis of the state of the containment wall structure. Your letter has been referred to the NRC staff. The staff will address your specific concerns in a direct reply.

Currently, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is conducting a proceeding on the license renewal application for the Oyster Creek Station. Under Nuclear Regulatory Commission procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards. Because of that role, I trust that you will understand that the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board or on appeal to the Commission.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the participants in the Oyster Creek proceeding.

Sincerely,

Annette L. Vietti-Cook
Secretary of the Commission

cc: Service List
The Honorable Christopher H. Smith  
United States House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515  

Dear Congressman Smith:

This is a response to your letter dated September 26, 2006, addressed to Chairman Dale E. Klein, in which you request that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) address your concerns related to the effects of water leakage and corrosion on the structural integrity of the containment wall of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station reactor. You also recommend that the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards conduct an independent analysis of the state of the containment wall structure. Your letter has been referred to the NRC staff. The staff will address your specific concerns in a direct reply.

Currently, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is conducting a proceeding on the license renewal application for the Oyster Creek Station. Under Nuclear Regulatory Commission procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards. Because of that role, I trust that you will understand that the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board or on appeal to the Commission.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the participants in the Oyster Creek proceeding.

Sincerely,

Annette L. Vietti-Cook  
Secretary of the Commission

cc: Service List
The Honorable Bill Pascrell, Jr.
United States, House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pascrell:

This is a response to your letter dated September 26, 2006, addressed to Chairman Dale E. Klein, in which you request that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) address your concerns related to the effects of water leakage and corrosion on the structural integrity of the containment wall of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station reactor. You also recommend that the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards conduct an independent analysis of the state of the containment wall structure. Your letter has been referred to the NRC staff. The staff will address your specific concerns in a direct reply.

Currently, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is conducting a proceeding on the license renewal application for the Oyster Creek Station. Under Nuclear Regulatory Commission procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards. Because of that role, I trust that you will understand that the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board or on appeal to the Commission.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the participants in the Oyster Creek proceeding.

Sincerely,

Annette L. Vietti-Cook
Secretary of the Commission

cc: Service List
October 10, 2006

The Honorable Rush Holt  
United States House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Holt:

This is a response to your letter dated September 26, 2006, addressed to Chairman Dale E. Klein, in which you request that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) address your concerns related to the effects of water leakage and corrosion on the structural integrity of the containment wall of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station reactor. You also recommend that the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards conduct an independent analysis of the state of the containment wall structure. Your letter has been referred to the NRC staff. The staff will address your specific concerns in a direct reply.

Currently, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is conducting a proceeding on the license renewal application for the Oyster Creek Station. Under Nuclear Regulatory Commission procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards. Because of that role, I trust that you will understand that the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board or on appeal to the Commission.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the participants in the Oyster Creek proceeding.

Sincerely,

Annette L. Vietti-Cook  
Secretary of the Commission

cc: Service List
The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr.
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pallone:

This is a response to your letter dated September 26, 2006, addressed to Chairman Dale E. Klein, in which you request that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) address your concerns related to the effects of water leakage and corrosion on the structural integrity of the containment wall of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station reactor. You also recommend that the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards conduct an independent analysis of the state of the containment wall structure. Your letter has been referred to the NRC staff. The staff will address your specific concerns in a direct reply.

Currently, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is conducting a proceeding on the license renewal application for the Oyster Creek Station. Under Nuclear Regulatory Commission procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards. Because of that role, I trust that you will understand that the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board or on appeal to the Commission.

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the participants in the Oyster Creek proceeding.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Annette L. Vietti-Cook
Secretary of the Commission

cc: Service List
The Honorable Robert E. Andrews  
United States House of Representatives  
Washington, D.C. 20515  

Dear Congressman Andrews:  

This is a response to your letter dated September 26, 2006, addressed to Chairman Dale E. Klein, in which you request that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) address your concerns related to the effects of water leakage and corrosion on the structural integrity of the containment wall of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station reactor. You also recommend that the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards conduct an independent analysis of the state of the containment wall structure. Your letter has been referred to the NRC staff. The staff will address your specific concerns in a direct reply.  

Currently, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is conducting a proceeding on the license renewal application for the Oyster Creek Station. Under Nuclear Regulatory Commission procedural rules for adjudications, the Commission has an appellate role in proceedings commenced before its Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards. Because of that role, I trust that you will understand that the Commissioners must remain impartial during the pendency of a case, whether it is before a Licensing Board or on appeal to the Commission.  

A copy of your letter and this response, as well as the response of the NRC staff, when issued, will be served on the participants in the Oyster Creek proceeding.  

Sincerely,  

Annette L. Vietti-Cook  
Secretary of the Commission  

cc: Service List
Dr. Dale E. Klein, Chairman  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
11555 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD 20852  

Dear Dr. Klein:  

We write to you today concerning the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Facility in Forked River, New Jersey.  

It has come to our attention that Exelon, the operators of Oyster Creek, has failed to properly inspect and monitor water leakage and subsequent corrosion found in the main containment wall of the reactor. Eight years ago, upon assuming ownership of the plant, Exelon made a commitment to monitor the corrosion, as well as the water draining down from the outside of the steel containment wall, and to take appropriate steps to prevent further leakage. According to recent information provided by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), Exelon has not lived up to these operating license commitments. Furthermore, recent reports indicate that during an NRC inspection, containers used to capture the water were found to have been emptied, preventing inspectors from conducting testing to determine the origin of the leaks.  

Ensuring the robustness of containment walls to protect public health and safety is of the utmost importance. It is imperative that all questions and uncertainties about the structural integrity of this plant be answered. Exelon has stated they conduct routine visual inspections of corroded areas. However, corrosion occurring on scales measured in fractions of inches are extremely difficult to detect with the naked eye. As a result, Exelon has agreed to conduct ultrasonic testing on one percent of the lower sandbed region to determine whether the thickness of the containment vessel meets current safety margins. Unfortunately, we remain concerned that the intended testing target is not a large enough sample area to provide comprehensive results sufficient to make this determination and that corrosion may have already occurred beyond established safety margins. In addition, we understand that no testing of the thickness of the metal in the embedded region is scheduled even though some experts believe that region could now be experiencing the most rapid corrosion. As such, please indicate why the NRC believes that the containment wall is not in a dangerous state. In addition, please explain what additional measures the NRC has taken, or intends to be taken during the remaining relicensing period, to ensure the structural integrity of the containment walls have not been, or will not be, compromised.
Resolution of this controversial matter would be greatly aided if a third party, such as the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) was allowed to conduct their own analysis of the current state of the containment structure. As we have learned, ACRS involvement goes a long way in providing the public with assurances that the NRC has properly conducted all necessary testing and analysis. When deciding whether a vital safety structure has been allowed to corrode beyond its safety margins because of violations of the operating license which the NRC failed to notice for eight years, redundancy becomes a necessity.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter and we look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Christopher H. Smith
Member of Congress

Jim Saxton
Member of Congress

Robert E. Andrews
Member of Congress

Rush Holt
Member of Congress

Frank Pallone, Jr.
Member of Congress

Bill Pascrell, Jr.
Member of Congress
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